

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). P	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/071,872		02/07/2002	Waheguru Pal Singh	LYNN/0144	144 8734	
24945	7590	06/16/2004		EXAMINER		
STREETS		LE `FREEWAY		BOS, STEVEN J		
SUITE 355		TREE WITT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON	v. TX 770	040	•	1754		
				TO A TITE AA A IX FID. OC 5 C OOO.		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>			_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summany	10/071,872	SINGH, WAHEGURU PAL						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Steven Bos	1754						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication	n.					
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u>	lay 2004.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			s					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-3, is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-39,41-45,47-51,53 and 54</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,40,46,52 and 55-98</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊡ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement							
	olosion roquiromoni.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-2-2004.	4) ☐ Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413)						
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1754

In claims 7,8, "the one or more target metal anion complex" is awkward. It appears that – complexes – was intended.

In claim 11, it would be clearer if "are characterized in that the diquaternary amines" was deleted and "amines" was singular and "extract" was – extracts —.

In claims 15-18, "amines" should be singular.

In claim 20, "amines are soluble" should be - amine is soluble --.

In claim 47, "binding the one or more metal anion complex" is ungrammatical; it appears that – binding the one or more metal anion complexes – was intended.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 46,52,55-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 46, "and combinations thereof" is new matter.

In claim 52, "and combinations thereof" is new matter.

The limitations of each of claims 55-98 are new matter; specific support for same needs to be pointed to.

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5,40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 depends from canceled claim 4 which renders the claim indefinite.

In claim 40, "the diquaternary ammonium halide" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CN 1146495.

Art Unit: 1754

CN '495 suggests the instantly claimed process of recovering palladium from an aqueous solution by contacting with potassium iodide in an organic solvent, eg. crown ether, to complex, ie. bind, the palladium which is then extracted by the crown ether and separated from the aqueous solution. See pp. 1-4 of the translation.

Applicant's arguments filed May 4, 2004 have been fully considered and they are persuasive.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350.

Art Unit: 1754

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime

Page 5

sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 1754

sjb